Regent Park Neighbourhood

Needs Assessment Report–Community Well Being (2012 -2013)



Toronto Centre for Community Learning & Development Immigrant Women Integration Program

By

Ishrat Zahan

March 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I'm heartily thankful to the Executive Director of the Toronto Centre for Community Learning and Development (CCL&D), Alfred Jean-Baptiste, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the very first day of the Immigrant Women Integration Program (IWIP), enabled me to develop and conduct the survey about my community till the end of the project.

I owe my warmest appreciation to Caroline Outten, manager for Training, Resource and Development, for her teachings, caring, sharing and encouragement. I would also like to thank all the staff of the Toronto Centre for Community Learning and Development, who helped me and gave me their valuable time and support to make this report possible.

I would like to acknowledge the support extended by Agazi Afewerki, and Sureya Ibrahim, who helped me distributing the hard copy of the survey and bookmarks among the participants of YEP and Yoga activities in the Daniels Centre of Learning.

I would like to thank Dryden Baily, Uof T student, for editing the report.

I would like to express my special gratitude and thanks to the Regent Park Health Centre, Yonge Street Mission, Parliament Public Library, Sprucecourt Public School, Lord Dufferin Public School, The Children's Book Bank, Fresco, Regent Park Aquatic Centre and Parents for Better Beginnings for institutional support.

I owe my deepest gratitude to all of the residents of Regent Park and all the guardians who gave their valuable time and opinion by completing this survey.

I am grateful to my family members and friends who are always with me, and giving me both emotional support and encouragement during the IWIP program.

Last, but not least I would like to express my special thanks to my friends who contributed together to develop and conduct the community well-being survey, and offer my regards to all of those who supported me during the completion of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.	Executive Summary	4
2.	Introduction	5
3.	Methodology	6
4.	Neighbourhood Profile	8
5.	Data Analysis	14
6.	Recommendation	39
7.	Conclusion	41
8.	References	42
9.	Appendix	43

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Well-being survey is the most vital part of the Immigrant Women Integration Program (IWIP) of the Toronto Centre for Community Learning and Development (TCCL&D). The purpose of this survey report was to provide an overview of the community's needs, based on the Canadian Index of Well-Being that includes: demographic information, living standards, health, democratic engagement, community vitality, public services, leisure and culture and environment friendly activities.

The survey was conducted from December 2012 to January 2013 in the Regent Park community. Respondents could participate by filling out an online version, or a hard copy form, in order to identify the gaps within the community's ability to access different accessible services.

The report assesses the needs of the local community in various perspectives, like: Statistics Canada, Comparison Census in 2001-2006 by the City of Toronto, and Community Index of Well-Being survey.

Out of the total 236 respondents, 42% are male and 52% female; 60% of them are Canadian citizen. The majority of the respondents are from South Asia (37%) and East Asia (23%). The majority of the respondents (21%) mentioned their household income is less than \$5,000, 20% mentioned as \$10,000-\$20,000 per year, which is very alarming. Only 34% respondents are working and most of them are doing part-time jobs. Those who are working, 23% mentioned that the required qualification is high school or less for that job. The majority of the respondents doesn't have any democratic engagement in the society, more than 50% of them don't know their local representatives. Current issues awareness is very poor (50%+) among the respondents and don't know their rights under the Ontario Human rights Code. Interestingly, high percentage (69%) of respondents have a strong sense of belonging to the community.

The needs assessment is meant to identify and raise awareness about the availability of community resources and challenges of accessibility among the community residents in order to highlight the community's strengths and weaknesses, to explore and minimize the gaps in resource availability, and create a healthy, sustainable condition.

2. INTRODUCTION

The participants of the Immigrant Women Integration Program (IWIP) conducted a survey by using the Community Index of Well-Being in 13 selected neighborhoods within the city of Toronto. Out of these 13 neighborhoods, the participants of the IWIP program represent nine. In the month of November, the team designed a Well-Being questionnaire to be used as a basis for the survey. The survey and questions were designed with the help of the Toronto Center for Community Learning & Development leadership staff. The data and information gathering was done during the months of December 2012 and January 2013.

The purpose of conducting the Well-Being survey in the Regent Park neighborhood was to understand the specific needs of the community and to identify the drawbacks of accessibility to the public services and resources in terms of generally accepted standards. The well-being needs of any community measure various aspects of life by collecting detailed information on specific indicators. This survey focused on selected indicators like demographics, living standards, health, democratic engagement, community vitality, public services, leisure and culture and environment friendly activities. This concept was taken from the research affiliated with "Canadian Index of Well-being (CIW)", a new approach of measuring well-being in society. CIW provides detailed insights into the quality of life of Canadians, and concentrates on specific socioeconomic challenges.

https://uwaterloo.ca/canadian-index-wellbeing/

3. Methodology

The survey was conducted using the following methods:

- Preset questionnaire based on Canadian Index of Well-Being
- Trend observations and discussions

The printed questionnaire was distributed among the residents of Regent Park and people (who felt uncomfortable filling out the printed version) were asked to fill out an electronic version by providing bookmarks with the website address. Bookmarks and printed questionnaires were distributed to the participants of different community programs and events. For example, parents who drop off their children at school, people using the public library, employees of local businesses and local residents. The needs assessment questionnaire was distributed only to the residents of Regent Park and those who work in the area in order to generate community specific information from the responses of the residents.

Trends of the responses from the residents were meticulously observed and analyzed. Later the key trends were discussed with the different professional groups who: lived in the same community and have a deep knowledge of community issues; and care for the community. The field discussions were most often with those who engaged with other community members like seniors, disabled, parents, children, etc. Not only did they provide insight into the issues the survey found, but they also provided valuable recommendations on those issues. These were added to the observations for the final recommendations.

These methods were able to provide observable and adequate details about the community. The surveyor, being from the same community, was able to better interpret the data, what the participants said and believed, and make sense of what is actually happening in the community.

Time Line:

Presentation							
Report Writing							
Data Analyzing							
Survey & Data Entry							
Data Collections							
Field Preparation							
	October	November	December	January	February	March	April

4. NEIGHBOURHOOD PROFILE – REGENT PARK



Location of the Regent Park Neighbourhood within Toronto

The neighbourhood of Regent Park is one of the finest and friendliest environments for



residents living in downtown Toronto, Ontario. The community is bordered by Gerrard Street East to the north, River Street to the south and Parliament Street to the west. The community was built in the late 1940's and it considered Canada's oldest and largest social housing project. The total area of the community is 69 acres (280,000 m²) and residents mainly live in rent-geared-to-incomes (RGI) units.

The development of the neighborhood started by dividing it into two sections, the northern part of Regent Park was built between 1947 and 1957 as a low-rise and townhouse development, which occupies the area north of Dundas Street and south of Gerrard Street. This section was inspired after the British "Garden City", which encourages low-rise development, limited car use, and provides modern living arrangements. The southern part



REGENT PARK NORTH

of Regent Park was developed from 1957 to 1959 south of Dundas Street. The area is mainly high-rise buildings and townhouses, and was considered 'slum clearance' projects.



The first tenants of Regent Park were mostly Irish or British families who spoke English. The Regent Park community was primarily known as Cabbage Town due to the fact that the Irish residents often grew cabbages in their front yards to prevent themselves from poverty. After revitalization, it is termed "Regent Park", which reflects the increased green space and the presence of modern development in the surrounding area.

The Regent Park community was mainly developed as a transitional community for people in need of social assistance or people in need of low earning rent geared residence. In the last two decades the community has been identified as a suitable place for reimbursement of recent immigrants as they face great difficulties when they first arrive in Canada.

The average earning of a Regent Park resident is approximately half, in comparison, to other Torontonians. Although there is poverty in the community, the people maintain a strong bond between them and are able to face the problems and risks as a result. Improvement can already be seen in the community.

Different community groups are highly active in promoting a positive sense of community, community representation, and in pursuing a higher quality of life.

Resources:

Some of the profit and not-for-profit organizations like Social, Education, and Human Services are in Regent Park are the following:

- Daniels Centre of Learning Regent Park
- Regent Park Neighborhood Initiative
- Toronto Employment Service Centre
- Regent Park Community Health Centre
- Daniels Spectrum
- Center for Social Innovation (CSI)
- Art and Heart Cultural Centre
- Regent Park Aquatic Centre
- Regent Park Community Centre
- Salvation Army
- Yonge Street Mission
- Toronto Public Library
- Parents for Better Beginning
- Children's Book Bank
- Toronto Kiwanis Boys and Girls Club
- Pathways to Education
- Toronto Christian Resource Centre
- ➢ St. Bartholomew's Children's Centre
- The Toronto District School Board
- ➢ 416 Community Centre
- Dixon Hall

Revitalization

"Toronto City Council approved the Regent Park Social Development Plan in October 2007. This is the first locality or "place-based" Social Development Plan for the City of Toronto and provides a framework for building a cohesive and inclusive community." <u>http://www.toronto.ca/revitalization/regent_park/</u>









Development of Regent Park has begun and the result can already be seen in the area. The city government has developed a plan to reconstruct Regent Park over the next 10-15 years, with the first phase having started in fall 2005 and the second phase which launched in April 2009.

- Regent Park Revitalization is a six-phase, 15-year, \$1-billion plan that will transform Canada's largest publicly funded community into a healthier mixed residential community for 12,500 people in 5,115 units. The first Regent Park tenants moved into their new homes in May 2009.
- Phase one of the revitalization includes approximately 640 market condominiums and 340 mixed social housing units in the area bordered by Parliament, Oak, Sackville and Dundas Street.



• The revitalized Regent Park will be a green community. The newly constructed buildings will be energy efficient and environmentally friendly by producing lower emission of carbon dioxide (CO2) and using energy savings. The Regent Park Energy Inc. will ensure high efficient heating and cooling to all buildings in the area. One Park Place, and the Regent Park Farmers market will be open very soon near the Daniels Spectrum.



- Residents can see indications of the plan working. The first market-priced condominium in the project, 1 Cole, has sold out and residents are settled. Hopefully, the remarkable transition of Regent Park will provide big changes and exciting opportunities for both current residents and people who are interested living in Toronto's vital downtown east area in the future.
- "Beyond the physical infrastructure, the revitalization is acting as a catalyst for social and economic change with more than 465 jobs already created for local residents. Over the next several years, as the revitalization continues, Regent Park will undergo a remarkable transition that will mean big changes and exciting opportunities for residents new and old." http://regentparkarts.ca/about-the-revitalization/

• The RBC bank, Tim Horton's, and Sobeys (Fresh Co) are running in the community.





• The Daniels Specturm and Regent Park Aquatic Centre recently opened to people for business.



Daniels Specturm



Regent Park Aquatic Centre

It also addressed "Residents that were relocated during phase 2, who learned that they will have an opportunity to return to one of 105 rent-geared-to-income units available at 230 Sackville Street as early as this fall. There are a total of three rental buildings in phase 2 (approximately 446 rental units) that are still under design or construction, scheduled for completion in 2016." http://www.regentpark.ca/news/20130226/full_house_regent_park_return_relocation_me

eting

5. DATA ANALYSIS

In the community of Regent Park the Well-Being survey was completed by 236 respondents and their age distributions are shown in the following table. Basic personal information was gathered during the survey.

Basic Information:

The majority of respondents were from the age group of 36 to 45 (35%) and the second largest group was age 46 to 55 (20%) (Figure - 1).



Figure – 1

The majority of respondents were female (58%), and 42% of the respondents were male (Figure -2).



Figure - 2

Ethnicity:

Respondents are from different ethnicities. The majority of respondents were South Asians(37%), the second largest respondents were East Asians (23%), and 15% were Canadianborn, 6% were South East Asian, 6% African, 4% respondents are white, and 1% from West Asia (Figure - 3).

Notably, this year 4% of respondents are aboriginal, which are the highest average seen yet (Figure - 3).

In addition 2% respondents were from Eastern European. There was only one respondent from the following areas: West European, South American, Black, Arab, Mixed, Middle Eastern, Scottish-Irish-Canadian, Afghanistan, Ireland and China (Figure - 3).



Figure – 3

Marital Status:

The data showed that a majority of respondents were married (66%) and 23% were single respondents. 3 % are widowed, 2% are separated, 4% are divorced, and 2% are living together (Figure - 4).



Figure – 4

Residential Status:

The data showed that a majority of respondents are Canadian Citizens (60%), while 35% of respondents are permanent residents, 4% are Visa students, and 1% is visitors (Figure 5).



Education Status:

According to the respondents among the community of Regent Park the highest level of education is Postgraduate Degree, University Undergraduate degree and some University total 42%, and the second highest of education is college degree 30%. On the other hand a third majority 25% of respondents have less than high school or high school diploma/GED, and only 3% of respondent have a trade or technical certificate. When considering an education above the respondents University and college level (42% + 30% =) 72% of education is higher than a high school diploma or GED 25% (Figure – 6).



Figure – 6

Fluency in English:

Among 236 respondents in the community of Regent Park a high percentage of respondents 40%, their English is fluent, and 15% stated they are advanced in English. In other hand 24% respondents' English is intermediate, and 21% stated their English is basic level. From the survey a majority of respondents have a high level of English (Figure – 7).



Figure – 7

Comparing the respondents' education level with the required level for the jobs they currently have:

Among the employee respondents, 27% have the high school education, and same level of education is required for their current job (Figure - 8).

37.3% (21.9%+15.4%) of respondents said that they have some college or college degree, almost 42% (22.5%+19.4%) of respondents mentioned they have some university or university undergraduate degree, and 12.5% of respondents mentioned they have post graduate degree of education, but for their current job performance, they only need a high school level of education (Figure -8).



Figure -8

Reasons Not Working:

Among the all respondents in the Regent Park community only 34% are employed (Figure – 9) and of the rest, 15% are looking after family or children, 20% are students or in a training program, 7% are retired from paid work, 6% believe no job available, 7% are new in the country or don't want, and only 6% are temporarily or terminally ill. 49% said that question was not applicable for them, because they are employed or involved in another way (Figure -9).



Figure -9

Length of Living in Canada:

According to the survey majority of respondents 25% have been in Canada for more than 10 years, 21% respondents said they have lived in Canada 6-9 years and 20% said they have always lived in Canada, and 20% of respondents have lived in Canada for less than three years. Only 15% residents have lived 3-6 years living in Canada (Figure–10).



Figure – 10

Level of Income:

According the data analyzed a majority of respondents 21% mentioned their income is less than \$5000, the second highest level, 20% respondents, indicated their annual household income is 10,000 - 20,000, 19% respondents' income 5,000 - 10,000, 16% of respondents mentioned their income is 20,000 - 25000, and 10% of the respondents' income level 25,000 - 35,000. From the analysis, it is clear that 76% of respondents mentioned their income is less than 5,000 - 35,000 which is a low-income level (Figure – 11).



Figure – 11

Amount spends on housing:

This chart shows that the majority of respondents, 31% of this spend 31% - 40% of their income on housing. The second majority (25%) of residents spend 21%-30% of their income on housing, and 11% of respondents spend 41% - 50% of their household income on housing (Figure -12).





After analyzing the data, it shows that most of the respondents continue to spend one third (1/3) of their income on housing. As the low-earning families are spending the most on housing, they are forced to refrain from saving or doing other things for their family (Figure -13).



The chart shows the highest majority (71%) of respondents rent their house (Figure-13).

Level of Satisfaction with Standard of Living:

This chart shows how the respondents rate their level of satisfaction with their standard of living in the Regent Park community. We can see that only 12% said they are very satisfied, 71% respondents mentioned they are somewhat satisfied or just satisfied followed by 37%, 34%, and 17% respondents mentioned they are dissatisfied with their standard of living (Figure – 14).



Figure – 14

General Health:

The following chart shows the general health condition in the past 12 months of the residents of this neighborhood. The majority of respondents 45%, said their health was good, and 42% of respondents indicated their health was just fair or very bad (Figure – 15).



Figure – 15

61% respondents express that they last visited the doctor between 1–3 months ago, 20% of respondents had visited within the last 4-6 months (Figure – 16).



Figure – 16

60% respondents mentioned they have a great deal of energy, and 40% of respondents don't have a lot of energy (Figure – 17).



Figure – 17

Overall most of the respondents said that their health condition is good, they have a great deal of energy, and they are physically active (Figure -18).



Figure -18

Happiness Measure:

According to the chart respondents show their happiness in their personal life. 68% (23% + 36% + 9%) respondents mentioned they are not pleased with the way they are, 65% (14% + 51%) of respondents mentioned they have very warm feelings toward almost everyone, and 51% (10% + 41%) of respondents mentioned they are very happy in their life. 71%(27% + 44%) of respondents have fun with other people (Figure – 19).



Figure - 19

Democratic Engagement:

A majority of respondents of the Regent Park Community (57%) does not know their Member of Parliament, 53% respondents do not know their Member of Provincial Parliament, and 51% do not know their City Councillor (Figure -20).



Figure –20

Awareness:

According to the data, a majority of respondents 51% (30% + 21%), are not aware of current issues affecting the community, and a majority of respondents, 59% (34% + 25%), do not know their rights under the Ontario Human Rights Code (Figure -21).



Figure– 21

Citizenship and Voting:

The graph below shows 58% of participants are eligible to vote means a citizen of Canada, between them only 71% make their vote (Figure -22).



Figure - 22

Length of Residency:

The data of the survey indicate a majority of respondents (33%) have lived in this neighbourhood between 2, and less than 5 years, and 24% are residents who have lived here between 5, and less than 10 years. 19% mentioned they are residents who have lived here between 1 to 2 years, and 12% are residents who have lived here between 11 to 20 years (Figure - 23).



Figure – 23

Community Engagement:

According to the survey, the residents of Regent Park community participant in different categories. Only 34% of respondents are employed, 32% of residents are in a training program or in school, 14% of respondents said they are looking for work, 13% of respondents are volunteering. On the other hand 27% of respondents said they are doing unpaid work at home (Figure – 24).



Figure – 24

Comparison of Day to Day Involvement Between Male and Female:

The following data show community engagement of male and female respondents of the Regent Park Community. According to the data, female respondents are enrolled in a training program in school and more volunteering than male, but most of them are unemployed and doing unpaid work at home (Figure -25).



Figure – 25

Participate in Activities:

According to the data, a majority of respondents (31%) did not participate in any kind of community work, and 16% have only participated once or twice. 20% participate at least once a week, 18% participate at least once a month, and 15% participate at least three times in the last 12 months (Figure – 26).



Figure – 26

Level of Satisfaction with Community:

The respondents participated in the survey voiced their opinion the level of satisfaction with the community of Regent Park. (18% + 5%) = 23% of respondents mentioned they are dissatisfied with this community, and 21% respondents mentioned they are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with this community (Figure - 27).



Figure – 27

Sense of Belonging:

According to the data, a high percentage of respondents (26%+43%)=69% mentioned they have a strong sense of belonging (Figure – 28).



Figure - 28

Community Spirits and Supports:

According to the respondents, of the Regent Park Community, a majority of respondents (67%) mentioned people try to get to know each other, 62% mentioned people help each other, 60% mentioned people care about each other, and 51% mentioned people share community spirit (Figure -29).



Figure – 29

Change in Social Services:

According to the data high percentage of respondents mentioned they don't have enough idea regarding the type of social services mentioned below (Figure-30). Among those, services for people with disabilities, programs for seniors and day care services require more attention, as more than 52% respondents are not aware of the services. People expressed their great concern over essential services like TTC, affordable housing, policing and employment services and commented either got worse or stayed the same. Government or local agencies need to give more attention on future planning and development keeping the responses in mind (Figure -30).



Figure – 30

Recycling Services:

According to the data of the Regent Park Community, a high percentage of respondents mentioned they do recycling in a proper way (Figure -31).



Figure -31

Provision for Recycling:

The chart below shows that 53% of respondents feel that provision for recycling is adequate in this community, but 22% of respondents think it is not, and 24% do not know about recycling provision (Figure – 32).



Figure –32
Protect the Environment:

From the survey data, it shows that the residents of the Regent Park make their contribution and act differently in protecting the environment. 86% of respondents protect the environment by recycling, 66% take the TTC, 40% use eco-friendly light bulbs, 30% use environmentally friendly products, 20% use low flow shower heads, and 16% use low flow toilets (Figure – 33).



Figure -33

Key Findings:

- Most of the respondents are 36-45 years old (figure-1).
- > The majority of the respondents are South Asians (Figure-3).
- > The majority of female respondents and they are more active than male (Figure-2).
- > The majority of the respondents are Canadian citizens (Figure-5).
- The most of the respondents have the highest level of education (Figure-6), but they need the high school level of education for their current job performance (figure-8).
- ➤ The majority of the respondents' income level below poverty line (Figure-11), but the most of the respondents spend on housing one third (1/3) of their income (Figure-12).
- Overall most of the respondents said that their health condition is good, they have a great deal of energy, and they are physically active (Figure-15, 17 & 18).
- The majority of the respondents do not know their local representatives (Figure- 20), not aware about current issues affecting the community and do not know their rights under the Ontario Human Rights Code (Figure-21).
- A high percentage of the respondents have a strong sense of belonging (Figure-28), community spirits and supports for each other (Figure-29).
- A high percentage of respondents' don't have enough idea regarding the type of social services (Figure–30). Among those, services for people with disabilities, programs for seniors and day care services. People expressed their great concern over essential services like TTC, affordable housing, policing and employment services and commented either got worse or stayed the same (Figure -30).

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

To solve the issues raised by the respondents, we need social support from different level of government, not-for-profit organizations, and different corporations. Based on the Well-Being survey, a list of recommendations is made for the community needs of Regent Park to modify and bring changes in day to day life. Some of the recommendations are listed below:

Steps for Creating opportunities of Employment Services: Almost every respondent agreed that opportunities need to be created with special attention to this neighborhood to reduce disparity and poverty at a reasonable level. The survey shows, only 34% respondents in Regent Park are employed and 32% of residents are in a training program or in school (Figure-24). Female respondents are enrolled in a training program, in school and more volunteering than male, but most of them are unemployed and doing unpaid work at home (Figure-25). In respect of required qualification, 73% respondents are underemployed.

This social and economic conditions can be eliminated by offering small business for women, special subsidies for the employers who employ from this neighborhood could be helpful to change the present scenario.

A large employment service centre with more staff would be able to provide more information about Co-op/training program related to their backgrounds what could help residents to find more opportunities, to bridge the information gap.

Steps to increase affordable housing: After unemployment, the loudest voice was for lacking of affordable housing in this community. 28% of respondents think that the housing situation got worse recently. 76% of respondents are earning below the national average and out of which 21% are earning less than \$5,000. 31% of respondents of this poor neighbourhood spend more than 40% of their household income toward housing, which prevent them to save anything for the rainy days; it's reflected in dissatisfied respondents with standard of living.

It has been discussed as an option from residents' Rent to Own program. More developers and TCHC need to come forward in this program to provide more affordable houses for the community. **Steps in engagement of young people:** According to the survey, Regent Park community youth services have been improved, but this achievement need to uphold and need continuous attention and monitoring.

Steps toward policing: Most of the respondents are in favor of increased police presence to ensure safety and security in the area. Enhanced electronic surveillance can be very helpful to identify troublemakers.

Steps toward TTC: Survey shows that most of the respondents facing trouble with TTC services everyday and it seems unpredictable to them, which is reflected in the response. 25% of respondents replied that the service got worse in this region.

7. CONCLUSION

This survey outlined Regent Park as a crowded, multicultural and low-earning neighbourhood with more than half of its population being immigrants. Most of Regent Park's residents are living below the poverty level without employment. The poverty of the neighborhood has a direct impact on young family members who are struggling with accessing community resources and getting proper support. Authorities should work together to coordinate community uplift activities and bringing more business to Regent Park. They should also work to provide the required logistical support so that local residents can start their own businesses.

8. REFERENCES

"About The Revitalization." Welcome to Daniels Spectrum. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013

"Background: Toronto's Regent Park." Www.globaltoronto.com. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"City of Toronto: Neighbourhood Profiles." *City of Toronto: Neighbourhood Profiles.* N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"City of Toronto: Revitalization of Regent Park." *City of Toronto: Revitalization of Regent Park.* N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"Community Vitality." Home. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"Democratic Engagement." Home. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013

"Education." Home. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013..

"Environment." Home. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"Regent Park \hat{A} . Toronto Community Housing." *Regent Park* \hat{A} . *Toronto Community Housing*. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

Regent Park." Wikipedia. Wikimedia Foundation, 03 June 2013. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"Full House at Regent Park Return/relocation Meeting \hat{A} . Toronto Community Housing." *Full House at Regent Park Return/relocation Meeting* \hat{A} . *Toronto Community Housing*. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

"2012 CIW Composite Index Reveals Canadian Wellbeing Is on the Decline." *Home*. N.p., n.d. Web. 10 Mar. 2013.

9. APPENDIX

Neighbourhood Wellbeing Report Regent Park (2012-13)

Report Filter

Filter Item	Detail
Criteria #1	1(b). Your neighbourhood equals "Regent Park"
Categories	All categories
Creation Date	Doesn't matter
Date Edited	Doesn't matter
Demessionalis Ind	

Demographic Information

1(a). Age Range

What age group are you in?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	17 or under	1	0.42%
2	18-25	22	9.32%
3	26-35	43	18.22%
4	36-45	82	34.76%
5	46-55	46	19.49%
6	56-64	17	7.20%
7	65 or older	25	10.59%

Average: 4.28

1(b). Your neighbourhood

In which of the following neighbourhoods do you live?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Crescent Town	0	0.00%
2	Dorset Park	0	0.00%
3	Eglinton East-Kennedy Park	0	0.00%
4	Weston-Mt. Dennis	0	0.00%
5	Flemingdon Park-Victoria Village	0	0.00%
6	South Riverdale	0	0.00%
7	Westminister-Branson	0	0.00%
8	Kingston-Galloway	0	0.00%
9	Lawrence-Heights	0	0.00%
10	Malvern	0	0.00%
11	Moss Park	0	0.00%
12	Steeles-L'Amoureaux	0	0.00%
13	Regent Park	236	100.00%
14	St. James Town	0	0.00%
15	Don Mills	0	0.00%
16	Other	0	0.00%

Average: 13.00

1(c). Name of your neighbourhood

If you picked "Other", please tell us the name of your neighbourhood:

Jarvis and Dundas

1(e). Highest Level of Schooling

What is your highest level of schooling?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Less than high school	22	9.32%
2	High School Diploma or GED	37	15.68%
3	Some college	32	13.56%
4	College Degree	39	16.53%
5	Trade or Technical Certificate	6	2.54%
6	Some University	40	16.95%
7	University Undergraduate Degree	36	15.25%
8	Post-Graduate Degree	24	10.17%

Marital Status, Household, Children

2(a). Marital Status

What is your current marital status?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Single	54	22.88%
2	Married	156	66.11%
3	Widowed	8	3.39%
4	Separated	4	1.69%
5	Divorced	10	4.24%
6	Living together	4	1.69%

2(b). Civic/Immigration Status

Your immigration/resident status is:

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Canadian Citizen	142	60.17%
2	Permanent Resident	83	35.17%
3	Refugee	0	0.00%
4	Visa Student	9	3.81%
5	Visitor	2	0.85%

2(c). Length of time in Canada

How long have you been a resident of Canada?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Less than 1 year	3	1.27%
2	1 to 3 years	44	18.64%
3	3 to 6 years	34	14.41%
4	6 to 9 years	49	20.76%
5	10+	60	25.43%
6	All my life	46	19.49%

Self-Identity

3(a). Self-identify *Pick ONLY those that apply to you:*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Aboriginal	9	3.81%
2	Canadian-born	39	16.53%
3	Western European	1	0.42%
4	Eastern European	4	1.69%
5	Northern European	0	0.00%
6	Southern European	0	0.00%
7	Caribbean	0	0.00%
8	East Asian	58	24.58%
9	West Asian	2	0.85%
10	Southeast Asian	15	6.36%
11	South Asian	93	39.41%
12	South American	1	0.42%
13	Latin American	0	0.00%
14	Black	1	0.42%
15	Hispanic	0	0.00%
16	Central American	0	0.00%
17	White	9	3.81%
18	African	15	6.36%
19	Arab	1	0.42%
20	Mixed	1	0.42%
21	Middle Eastern	1	0.42%
22	Other	4	1.69%

3(b). Self-Identify: Other *If "Other", please specify:*

Afganisthan Scottish-Irish-Canadian IRELAND Chinese

3(c). Self Identify Gender *Pick ONLY those that apply to you:*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Male	100	42.37%
2	Female	136	57.63%
3	Intersexed	0	0.00%
4	Gay	0	0.00%
5	Transgendered	0	0.00%
6	Lesbian	0	0.00%
7	Transsexual	0	0.00%

3(d). English Fluency

How well do you speak English, if it is not your first language?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Fluent	93	39.41%
2	Advanced	36	15.25%
3	Intermediate	56	23.73%
4	Basic	51	21.61%

Income, Living

4(a). Household Income

What is your annual household income?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Less than \$5,000	50	21.18%
2	\$5,001- \$10,000	43	18.22%
3	\$10,001 to \$20,000	48	20.34%
4	\$20,001 to \$25,000	38	16.10%
5	\$25,001 to \$35,000	23	9.75%
6	\$35,001 to \$45,000	16	6.78%
7	\$45,001 to\$55,000	8	3.39%
8	\$55,001 - \$65,000	8	3.39%
9	\$65,001 +	2	0.85%

4(b). Your Housing

What is your housing situation?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Own	17	7.20%
2	Living with friends	7	2.97%
3	Shelter	7	2.97%
4	Rent	167	70.76%
5	Living with family	36	15.25%
6	No housing	2	0.85%

4(c). Amount you spend on housing *What percentage of your income do you spend on housing?*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	0%	11	4.66%
2	Less than 10%	16	6.78%
3	11% - 20%	13	5.51%
4	21% - 30%	59	25.00%
5	31% - 40%	73	30.93%
6	41% - 50%	26	11.02%
7	51% - 60%	20	8.47%
8	61% - 70%	4	1.69%
9	71% - 75%	7	2.97%
10	More than 76%	7	2.97%

4(d). Day-to-day Involvement

What do you do most days? (Choose all that apply):

Value	Description	Number	%
1	In school	65	27.54%
2	Employed	81	34.32%
3	Volunteering	31	13.14%
4	Local business owner	3	1.27%
5	Unpaid work at home	63	26.69%
6	In a training program	10	4.24%
7	Looking for work	32	13.56%
8	Other	28	11.86%

4(e). Other day-to-day

If you picked other, please explain:

self-employment Taking care of my child and husband. Childcare provider nono work at Temp Agencies and for a local contractor when needed learning english stay at home stay at home because Retired Free lance computer tech A.B ODSP walk, music, nature. Working to put my legal case together to file suit against those that stole my identity. actives in community Retired ΕI Take care my grandchildren. Self Employed ARTIST---ODSP Unpaid language program, under YMCA, LINC - (Level-3)

4(f). Schooling required for job

If you are currently employed, what level of education is required to perform your job?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Less than high school	11	4.66%
2	High school	42	17.80%
3	Some college	29	12.29%
4	Post Graduate Degree	7	2.97%
5	University Degree	13	5.51%
6	Trade Certificate	4	1.69%
7	Not Employed	91	38.55%
8	Don't Know	39	16.53%

4(g). Number of jobs

If you are employed, is it ...? (Pick all that apply)

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Full-time	46	19.49%
2	Part-time	60	25.42%
3	More than one job	5	2.12%
4	Temporary work	10	4.24%
5	Not Applicable	126	53.39%

4(h). Looking for work

If you are not working, is it for any of the following reasons? (Pick all that apply)

Value	Description	Number	%
1	I am in a training/employment program	12	5.08%
2	Student	34	14.41%
3	Long-term sick or disabled	9	3.81%
4	Temporarily sick or injured	1	0.42%
5	Looking after family/home	35	14.83%
6	Retired from paid work	17	7.20%
7	Don't want/need employment	4	1.69%
8	Believe no jobs available	13	5.51%
9	Not yet started looking	8	3.39%
10	New to the country	7	2.97%
11	Not Applicable	116	49.15%

4(i). Satisfaction with standard of living

Overall, how would you rate your level of satisfaction with your standard of living?

Value	Description	Number	%
4	Very Satisfied	27	11.44%
3	Satisfied	80	33.90%
2	Somewhat Satisfied	88	37.29%
1	Dissatisfied	41	17.37%

General Health

5(a). Past 12 Months

Over the past 12 months my health has been...

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Very Good	32	13.56%
4	Good	106	44.92%
3	Fair	76	32.20%
2	Bad	18	7.63%
1	Very Bad	4	1.69%

5(b). Family doctor *Do you have a family doctor?*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	215	91.10%
2	No	21	8.90%

5(c). Visit to the doctor

When was the last time you saw a doctor?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	1-3 months	143	60.60%
2	4-6 months	48	20.34%
3	7-12 months	22	9.32%
4	1-2 years	20	8.47%
5	Never saw a doctor	3	1.27%

5(d). Energy

Feel I have a great deal of energy

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Strongly agree	31	13.14%
4	Agree	110	46.60%
3	Slightly disagree	67	28.39%
2	Disagree	26	11.02%
1	Strongly Disagree	2	0.85%

5(e). Physical Activity

How many times a week do you practice at least 30 minutes of physical exercise?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Once a week	57	24.36%
2	Twice a week	35	14.96%
3	More than twice a week	78	33.33%
4	Less than once a week	64	27.35%

5(f). Quality of Life

How would you rate your quality of life":

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Very good	26	11.02%
4	Good	98	41.53%
3	Neither good nor poor	73	30.93%
2	Poor	33	13.98%
1	Very Poor	6	2.54%

6. How happy Am I

Pleased with way I am

I don't feel particularly pleased with the way I am

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	13	5.53%
2	Agree	62	26.38%
3	Whatever	55	23.40%
4	Disagree	84	35.75%
5	Disagree Strongly	21	8.94%

Warm Feeling

I have very warm feelings towards almost everyone

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	33	14.04%
2	Agree	120	51.06%
3	Whatever	48	20.43%
4	Disagree	30	12.77%
5	Disagree Strongly	4	1.70%

Optimistic

I am not particularly optimistic about the future

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	7	2.98%
2	Agree	60	25.53%
3	Whatever	68	28.94%
4	Disagree	75	31.91%
5	Disagree Strongly	25	10.64%

Confidence

Recently I have been losing confidence in myself

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	7	2.98%
2	Agree	39	16.60%
3	Whatever	46	19.57%
4	Disagree	110	46.81%
5	Disagree Strongly	33	14.04%

Very happy I am very happy

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	24	10.21%
2	Agree	97	41.28%
3	Whatever	60	25.53%
4	Disagree	48	20.43%
5	Disagree Strongly	6	2.55%

Meaning and purpose

I do not have a particular sense of meaning and purpose in my life

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	9	3.83%
2	Agree	37	15.74%
3	Whatever	63	26.81%
4	Disagree	80	34.05%
5	Disagree Strongly	46	19.57%

Memories

I do not have particularly happy memories of the past

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	5	2.14%
2	Agree	31	13.25%
3	Whatever	40	17.09%
4	Disagree	104	44.44%
5	Disagree Strongly	54	23.08%

Fun with others

I do not have fun with other people

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree Strongly	3	1.27%
2	Agree	31	13.14%
3	Whatever	35	14.83%
4	Disagree	104	44.07%
5	Disagree Strongly	63	26.69%

Democratic Engagement

7(a). Member of Parliament

Do you know the name of your Member of Parliament (MP)?

Value	Description	Number	%
2	Yes	102	43.22%
1	No	134	56.78%

7(b). Members of Provincial Parliament

Do you know the name of your Member of Provincial Parliament (MPP)?

Value	Description	Number	%
2	Yes	112	47.46%
1	No	124	52.54%

7(c). City Councillor

Do you know the name of your city Councillor?

Value	Description	Number	%
2	Yes	116	49.15%
1	No	120	50.85%

7(d). Awareness of issues

To what extent would you say that you are aware of current issues affecting your community?

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Very Aware	31	13.14%
4	Aware	77	32.63%
3	Somewhat Aware	70	29.66%
2	Not Aware	49	20.76%
1	Not interested	9	3.81%

7(e). Knowledge of Human Rights

To what extent are you familiar with the protections offered by the Ontario Human Rights Code?

Value	Description	Number	%
4	Very Aware	24	10.17%
3	Aware	73	30.93%
2	Somewhat Aware	80	33.90%
1	Not Aware	59	25.00%

7(f). Newspapers

Do you read any local newspapers?

Value	Description	Number	%
3	Yes	130	56.52%
2	Seldom	57	24.78%
1	No	43	18.70%

Elections and Voting

8(a). Eligible to vote

Are you eligible to vote? (Skip questions 8b and 8c if you answer No)

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	138	58.47%
2	No	98	41.53%

8(b). If eligible to vote

If eligible, do you vote?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	123	71.10%
2	No	34	19.65%
3	Sometimes	16	9.25%

8(c). Voting

If your answer for 8b is NO, can you list up to 3 reasons why?

none are going to do what they say, they have no idea of the reality except Thier little world. not interested, forget not citizen They lie, a waste of time, they never help the people in need. Lazy, not interested, forgot No time, no interest Don't care, who you vote for always disappoints. no not in trusted Not in trusted I am not informed enough to make a good decision. I am not sure why I have not kept up to date with politics. Do not care for politics, it's all a sham. address changing i am student Just got the right on April 2012. Don't like getting involved in politics, choose not too During vote, I was in my country. I dont feel interest about politics. no too much work for education life

Sense of Belonging

9(a). Length of Residency

How long have you lived in your neighbourhood?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Less than a year	13	5.51%
2	1 to 2 years	45	19.07%
3	2 to 5 years	78	33.05%
4	5 to 10 years	57	24.15%
5	11 to 20 years	29	12.29%
6	20+ years	14	5.93%

9(b). Groups and organizations

In the past 12 months, how often did you participate in the activities of any group or organization in your community?

Value	Description	Number	%
5	At least once a week	47	19.92%
4	At least once a month	44	18.64%
3	At least three times	35	14.83%
2	Once or twice	37	15.68%
1	Not at all	73	30.93%

9(c). Desire to participate

How strong would you say is your desire to get more involved in activities in your community?

Value	Description	Number	%
4	Very Strong	39	16.53%
3	Strong	53	22.46%
2	Moderate	94	39.82%
1	No Desire	50	21.19%

9(d). Volunteering your time

In the past 12 months, did you volunteer your time to help with the activities of any group or organization?

Value	Description	Number	%
2	Yes	109	46.19%
1	No	127	53.81%

9(e). Level of Satisfaction with Community

Thinking about your neighbourhood, how satisfied are you with this area as a place to live?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Very satisfied	50	21.19%
2	Fairly satisfied	83	35.16%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	50	21.19%
4	Slightly dissatisfied	42	17.80%
5	Very dissatisfied	11	4.66%

9(f). Sense of belonging

How would describe your sense of belonging in your community?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Strong	61	25.85%
2	Somewhat strong	101	42.79%
3	Weak	42	17.80%
4	Don't feel like I belong	32	13.56%

9(g). Speaking to neighbours

How often do you speak to neighbours (face-to-face)

Value	Description	Number	%
1	"On most days"	116	49.16%
2	"Once or twice a week"	52	22.03%
3	"Once or twice a month"	35	14.83%
4	"Less often than once a month"	19	8.05%
5	Never	14	5.93%

Community Spirit & Supports

People try to know each other

This is a neighbourhood where people try to know each other

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree	157	66.52%
2	Disagree	37	15.68%
3	Don't know	42	17.80%

Help each other

People do things together and try to help each other

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree	145	61.44%
2	Disagree	48	20.34%
3	Don't know	43	18.22%

People go own way

People in this neighbourhood don't care about each other

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree	34	14.41%
2	Disagree	140	59.32%
3	Don't know	62	26.27%

Share community spirit People in this neighbourhood DO NOT share a community spirit

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Agree	29	12.29%
2	Disagree	119	50.42%
3	Don't know	88	37.29%

Change in Services

Public Transportation TTC

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	41	17.37%
2	Stayed the Same	107	45.34%
3	Got Worse	59	25.00%
4	Don't Know	29	12.29%

Housing Affordable housing

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	32	13.56%
2	Stayed the Same	88	37.29%
3	Got Worse	67	28.39%
4	Don't Know	49	20.76%

Policing Policing

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	49	20.76%
2	Stayed the Same	73	30.93%
3	Got Worse	28	11.86%
4	Don't Know	86	36.45%

Daycare

Daycare

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	44	18.64%
2	Stayed the Same	50	21.19%
3	Got Worse	18	7.63%
4	Don't Know	124	52.54%

Availability of Food Availability of local or organic food

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	73	30.93%
2	Stayed the Same	63	26.69%
3	Got Worse	14	5.93%
4	Don't Know	86	36.45%

Community Spirit

Community spirit

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	64	27.12%
2	Stayed the Same	67	28.39%
3	Got Worse	10	4.24%
4	Don't Know	95	40.25%

Employment *Employment services*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	47	19.92%
2	Stayed the Same	50	21.19%
3	Got Worse	53	22.46%
4	Don't Know	86	36.43%

Immigrants

Programs and services for immigrants

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	71	30.08%
2	Stayed the Same	56	23.73%
3	Got Worse	16	6.78%
4	Don't Know	93	39.41%

Services for Youth

Services for children and youth

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	70	29.66%
2	Stayed the Same	61	25.85%
3	Got Worse	8	3.39%
4	Don't Know	97	41.10%

For people with disabilities Services for people with disabilities

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	25	10.59%
2	Stayed the Same	44	18.64%
3	Got Worse	13	5.51%
4	Don't Know	154	65.26%

For Women

Services for women

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	70	29.66%
2	Stayed the Same	58	24.58%
3	Got Worse	9	3.81%
4	Don't Know	99	41.95%

For Seniors

Programs for seniors

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	47	19.92%
2	Stayed the Same	37	15.68%
3	Got Worse	15	6.36%
4	Don't Know	137	58.04%

For Families

Programs and services for families

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Improved	62	26.27%
2	Stayed the Same	66	27.97%
3	Got Worse	9	3.81%
4	Don't Know	99	41.95%

Social Supports

Family

There are people in my family who do things to make me happy.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	192	81.36%
2	No	25	10.59%
3	Don't know	19	8.05%

Friends

There are people among my friends who do things to make me happy.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	181	76.70%
2	No	27	11.44%
3	Don't know	28	11.86%

Feeling loved

There are people among my family or friends who make me feel loved.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	212	89.84%
2	No	12	5.08%
3	Don't know	12	5.08%

People I can rely on

There are people among my family or friends who can be relied on no matter what happens.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	174	73.73%
2	No	28	11.86%
3	Don't know	34	14.41%

See that I am taken care of

There are people among my family or friends who would see that I was taken care of, if I needed to be.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	201	85.17%
2	No	20	8.47%
3	Don't know	15	6.36%

Friends and family who accept me

There are people among my family or friends who accept me just as I am.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	193	81.78%
2	No	21	8.90%
3	Don't know	22	9.32%

People who encourage me

There are people among my family or friends who give me support and encouragement.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	222	94.06%
2	No	7	2.97%
3	Don't know	7	2.97%

Important part of the lives of others

There are people among my family or friends who make me feel an important part of their lives.

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	201	85.17%
2	No	11	4.66%
3	Don't know	24	10.17%

Free Time Use

Television

Watching television

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	116	49.15%
4	Occasionally	53	22.46%
3	Seldom	59	25.00%
2	Never	5	2.12%
1	Not Sure	3	1.27%

Adult Games

Playing adult games (for example, cards, mahjong, etc.)

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	20	8.47%
4	Occasionally	39	16.53%
3	Seldom	47	19.92%
2	Never	119	50.42%
1	Not Sure	11	4.66%

Walking

Walking for pleasure

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	71	30.09%
4	Occasionally	68	28.81%
3	Seldom	57	24.15%
2	Never	27	11.44%
1	Not Sure	13	5.51%

Reading

Reading books for pleasure

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	60	25.42%
4	Occasionally	61	25.85%
3	Seldom	76	32.20%
2	Never	34	14.41%
1	Not Sure	5	2.12%

Sports *Competing in team sports*

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	12	5.08%
4	Occasionally	24	10.17%
3	Seldom	56	23.73%
2	Never	134	56.78%
1	Not Sure	10	4.24%

Family outing Going on family outings

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	52	22.03%
4	Occasionally	87	36.87%
3	Seldom	62	26.27%
2	Never	32	13.56%
1	Not Sure	3	1.27%

Bicycling Bicycling

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	23	9.75%
4	Occasionally	32	13.56%
3	Seldom	34	14.41%
2	Never	138	58.47%
1	Not Sure	9	3.81%

Movies

Going to the movies

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	11	4.66%
4	Occasionally	52	22.03%
3	Seldom	69	29.24%
2	Never	97	41.10%
1	Not Sure	7	2.97%

Galleries & Museums

Visiting art galleries and museums

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	13	5.51%
4	Occasionally	77	32.63%
3	Seldom	81	34.31%
2	Never	55	23.31%
1	Not Sure	10	4.24%

Music

Listening to music

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	92	38.97%
4	Occasionally	55	23.31%
3	Seldom	55	23.31%
2	Never	31	13.14%
1	Not Sure	3	1.27%

Sports events Attending Sports events

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	18	7.63%
4	Occasionally	25	10.59%
3	Seldom	48	20.34%
2	Never	138	58.47%
1	Not Sure	7	2.97%

Surfing the Web

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	120	50.84%
4	Occasionally	45	19.07%
3	Seldom	34	14.41%
2	Never	30	12.71%
1	Not Sure	7	2.97%

Ballet, Opera, Shows

Attending opera, ballet, dance performances

Value	Description	Number	%
5	Frequently	10	4.24%
4	Occasionally	28	11.86%
3	Seldom	40	16.95%
2	Never	145	61.44%
1	Not Sure	13	5.51%

Recycling Services

Bottles

Bottles

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	220	93.22%
2	No	16	6.78%

Clothes Recycling

Clothes

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	161	68.22%
2	No	75	31.78%

Paper Recycling

Paper

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	219	92.80%
2	No	17	7.20%

Cans & Plastics

Cans & Plastics

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	220	93.22%
2	No	16	6.78%

Environment

15(a). Provision for Recycling *Do you feel that provision for recycling is adequate in your community?*

Value	Description	Number	%
3	Yes	126	54.31%
2	No	50	21.55%
1	Don't Know	56	24.14%

15(b). Protecting the Environment *I protect the environment by:*

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Using low flow shower heads	48	20.34%
2	Using low flow toilets	38	16.10%
3	Taking the TTC, carpooling, biking, walking	156	66.10%
4	Recycling	204	86.44%
5	Using eco friendly light bulbs	95	40.25%
6	Use environmentally friendly products	71	30.08%
7	I don't do anything	11	4.66%
8	Other	9	3.81%

15(c). Protecting the Environment - Other

Other ways I protect the environment:

Try not to use much electricity. use garbage recepticles Public transit. save things do no over eat Don't use plastic bags, don't litter, donate items to needy. Reuse shopping bag, don't smoke. My family doesn't use too much water. Not littering, encouraging others to be environmentally friendly. Staying informed about which politicians are environmentally friendly and which are not (i.e. those who ignore climate change). Try to be conservative about water & electricity use. Use reusable bags. By talking to people. Walk, bike, do not smoke, shop for items that are local. Make furniture from recycled materials. To grow plant. I try to avoid polybag. Bicycling I never drop somethig in the road or sidewalk, when I see anything was on the road I pick it up and throw in the garbage. Eat organic food I go to the washroom Before going out most shut off lights, water tabs and stove. I would recommend that city of Toronto would add up more recycling materials(such as garbage bins or recycling stations). Keep the house clean. recycle

Sharing Information

16(a). Sharing the findings

Would you like to be informed of the findings of this survey?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	54	22.88%
2	No	182	77.12%

16(c). Future surveys

Would you be interested in our future surveys?

Value	Description	Number	%
1	Yes	74	31.36%
2	No	162	68.64%

Final Comments/Feedback

17. Final Comments/Feedback

Please make any additional comments, below.

I like this survey.

Sometimes it is very hard to do household work. If we get somebody from the community helping me with household work.

This community should be create more job opportunity for women.

Quality is getting better but the quantity (the number of affordable housing) is getting down.

TTC tickets too expensive.

Good survey.

Can't find translator service of Mandarin speaker.

commend you on effort re-assess certain aspect of the community.

Very well done. Happy for you.

I have not lived in this neighbourhood long enough to make valid judgements about it.

TCC tickets too expensive.

I appreciate Daniels for the learning center. Ther's some activities for people that they could & attend - not like before to do not tend if there is activities around this area before.

This is a nice survey, but I would like to be informed of what research it is about.

Nicely designed survey. Thank you for inviting me to participate.

Good luck!

change TTC tickets prices lower.

Keep and make the community more clean.Don't through the garbage on the street.

I didn't feel this survey that it can get truth, just in my opinion. but i still answer any questions. Need more improvement of the community.

I appreciate it.

Its an interesting survey.

Hope the survey helps.

Very very long survey.

Thank you, for taking a good survey.

Have a great day

I really glad to get this chance for to say my opinion. I would like to do ccld programs.

Everything is really good.

It really is a good survey questionaries Hope government or community can improve better by using the results of these surveys.

Good job

We need service center where students can get help with their paper photocopying, e-mailing, faxing etc.

Nice way to know community. Good luck.

This survey could include questions about recreational facilities in the community which I think is not enough.

Increase employment of training programs.

TTC tickets too expensive, Food and grocery getting more expensive.

Very long survey. I got so bored by doing the whole thing.

This is very good way to know how i i feel about my community and from now i will be more aware to involve in my neighborhood.

keep up the good work